



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_7026
Title of the Manuscript:	Dendriform patterns associated with Herpes Zoster Ophthalmicus Ophthalmoplegia
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	1. As the focus of the paper is the dendritic pattern, and not the ophthalmoplegia, I would remove "Ophthalmoplegia" from the title, as it misdirects focus from the objective. The fact he presented first with ophthalmoplegia can remain in the text. 2. Avoid starting a sentence with 'Patient' or 'Patient's'. Replace the word 'Patient's' with 'His' to improve readability. 3. Please include the time course for the appearance of each of the different types of corneal lesions in the text, and in figure 1. 4. The last paragraph starts 'in conclusion', but appears to be a summary of the 'treatment' section, and not the article itself, which is a report on the HZO keratitis patterns in one patient. I would eliminate this entire paragraph(it is also redundant and subjective), and replace it with a concluding sentence regarding the keratitis patterns.	Reviewer: As the focus of the paper is the dendritic pattern, and not the ophthalmoplegia, I would remove "Ophthalmoplegia" from the title, as it misdirects focus from the objective. The fact he presented first with ophthalmoplegia can remain in the text. Comment: We would like to thank the reviewer for the comments. We would like to differ from the reviewer with regards to the title. We do agree that dendriform pattern is the focus of the article, but this case is unique in that all these patterns started after the patient recovered from ophthalmoplegia. So we would like to retain Ophthalmoplegia in the title. Reviewer: Avoid starting a sentence with 'Patient' or 'Patient's'. Replace the word 'Patient's' with 'His' to improve readability. Comment: We agree to the reviewers comments and will make the change in the text. Line 66,94 shows the changes made. Reviewer: Please include the time course for the appearance of each of the different types of corneal lesions in the text, and in figure 1. Comment: We would like to thank the reviewer for the comments. The time frame for the appearance of corneal lesions has been illustrated in Table 1. Reviewer: The last paragraph starts 'in conclusion', but appears to be a summary of the 'treatment' section, and not the article itself, which is a report on the HZO keratitis patterns in one patient. Comment: We have modified the paragraph.
---------------------------------------	--	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments

Great photos.